

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,250 03/01/2002		03/01/2002	Andreas Rutter	7587.186US01	4245	
23552	7590	07/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		OULD PC	PATIDAR, JAY M			
P.O. BOX MINNEA		N 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2862			
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/087,25	0	RUTTER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jay M. Pa	tidar	2862					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no ever ply within the statu d will apply and will tte, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	May 2004.							
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3-6 is/are pending in the application	<b>).</b>							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)[	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC	)-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmer  1) Notice	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	γ (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	450)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	8)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	~atent Application (PTO-	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

 This communication is in response to applicant's amendment received on May 11, 2004.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alff in view of Nachtigal et al. (US 6,559,633).

As to claims 6, Alff discloses a sealing (Figs. 1-4) device with a sensor 18 for a rolling bearing; the sensing device comprising: a sealing shield which is mounted between two races of the rolling bearing; an encoder 20 wheel which is arranged inside the rolling bearing between the two races and the shield; and a detecting sensor 18 comprising a detection surface which is arranged frontally in relation to the encoder wheel in a housing which is presented by the shield. Alff does not explicitly disclose an elastic support wall, which supports the sensor. Nachtigal is cited to show this feature. Nachtigal discloses a sealing speed sensor wherein Nachtigal teaches the sensor 30 being supported by the elastic

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250

Art Unit: 2862

member 52 (Note fig. 1). Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Alff to have included elastic walls for the sensors as taught by Nachtigal to to reduce initial seal failure incidents, to enhance the life of the seal and to isolate vibrations.

Page 3

As to claim 4, Nachtigal discloses a continuous sealing lip e.g. 60 which is arranged in direct contact with a lateral surface e.g. near 22 of the senor and which presents a substantially conical conformation which arranged in such a way that its own top part is opposite the rolling bearing in relation to the shield (Fig. 1).

As to claim 5; Nachtigal discloses a support element 120, which present a rigidity, which is greater than a rigidity of said support wall 152, and which is arranged in contact with one of said two races (Fig. 3).

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250

Art Unit: 2862

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Page 4

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,250

Art Unit: 2862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ปัลy M. Patidar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

Email: <u>Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov</u>

Page 5

July 22, 2004